A contribution model for funding of the national e-infrastructure

Executive summary

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a funding model that includes contributions from the users within higher education and public research and payment from commercial\(^1\) users. Users in this context refers to research projects.

A main principle in Norwegian R&D policy is that operational cost for the use of research infrastructures should, as far as possible, be financed by the R&D projects using the infrastructures. It is thus a requirement from the Norwegian Research Council that research infrastructures supported by the National Financing Initiative for Research Infrastructure should as the main principle, include user contribution as an element in the funding of the operational cost.

The infrastructures for High Performance Computing and Scientific Data Storage has previously been funded without a requirement for direct funding contribution from the research projects. This will now change. However, there is a need for a transition period in order to for the research projects to adapt to this new reality. When applying for funding for research projects from the Norwegian Research Council, there is a requirement that the projects allocate funds for expenses related to using research infrastructures. It is now important to allocate funds for using HPC and storage infrastructure in these applications in order to be able to pay for the usage.

The model introduces three different categories of contributors:
- Commercial research and industry which will pay the full cost price
- Large projects with funding from the Norwegian Research Council or EU, paying for operational expenses
- Non-commercial projects needing Dedicated Resources, paying for capital and operational expenses

The cost for the services is based on cost models consisting of actual cost elements from service suppliers for operational cost and investment costs.

This model is planned to be introduced during 2017 as the existing research projects will get a reasonable time to adapt to these new rules and make provisions for this in their future applications for funding. New projects in a category mentioned above, can expect to pay from the allocation period starting in April 2017.

The objectives of the model are to work towards Realistic expectations, Responsible use of resources, User driven demand, Fair resource distribution and Encourage use of the e-infrastructure.

In general, this model should not have any consequences for the work of the Resource Allocation Committee.

---

\(^1\) “Oppdragsforskning”
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Background
Traditionally the research infrastructures in Norway have been funded either directly by the Ministry of Education and Research or by grants from the Research Council of Norway (RCN), both for investment and for operation. Some universities have also contributed funding to research infrastructures. Before 2015, the infrastructure for High Performance Computing (HPC) were hosted, partly funded and operated by the four oldest universities in Norway. The Research Council funded part of the investment and operation directly and had the right to allocate HPC resources to research project through a Research Allocation Committee (RAC). The research projects using the HPC-service did not pay for any service or contributed any other funding. However, the universities contributed with in-kind funding (housing, man hours) covering part of the costs. In 2010 it was decided to set up a Norwegian Storage Infrastructure for digital scientific data. This was funded by a grant from the Research Council and resources were allocated to research project through the same Research Allocation Committee as for HPC.

In 2015, the Research Council and the four oldest universities agreed to set up a new organisation, UNINETT Sigma2 AS, which was given the overall responsibility for the e-infrastructure in Norway. The company’s objectives are increased value-creation, by means of providing national e-infrastructure services in the fields of high-performance computing and storage of digital scientific data. The primary target group is universities, university colleges, and research institutions in Norway. The company shall also serve as the means by which the same institutions execute in-house e-infrastructure projects, i.e. instead of setting up their own e-infrastructure projects, they formed a partnership to execute these. The Research Council and the four oldest universities provide the funding. It was a requirement from the Research Council to gradually introduce a model where also the research projects contribute funding for operation of the research infrastructures.

In addition, it shall be possible for commercial organisations to purchase services, regulated by the limitations in the COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 651/2014\(^2\). When the e-infrastructure is used in this context, the users should pay market prices\(^3\).

Current funding
The current funding is composed of the following elements:
- Research Council of Norway: 25 MNOK covering development and operation, international activities
- The four oldest universities: 50 MNOK covering investment, development and operation
- Research Council of Norway: Competitive infrastructure funding, with the ambition of an average yearly contribution of 30-50 MNOK

The Research Council requirement for financial user contribution
The contract UNINETT Sigma2 AS has with the Research Council of Norway has the following requirements:
- Research infrastructures supported by the National Financing Initiative for Research Infrastructure should as the main principle include user contribution as an element in the funding of the operational cost.
- User contribution is an important principle for a sustainable funding of the services.

---

\(^2\) [http://www.forskningsradet.no/no/Statsstotteregelverket/1254004171884](http://www.forskningsradet.no/no/Statsstotteregelverket/1254004171884)

\(^3\) See chapter «Categories of users... A) Commercial research and industry» for details
- User contribution should also contribute to the development and delivery of services which are requested by users.
- The introduction of the model for user contribution should not be a hindrance for projects to get free allocations based on scientific merit.

**Objectives of model**

Beyond the requirement from the Research Council to introduce a wider contribution model/user payments, it serves some other purposes.

**Realistic expectations and Responsible use of resources**

- When the research projects are not contributing to the funding of a service and consider it a free resource, there is a risk of unrealistic expectations for large resource allocations. This has already been evident in some of the discussions with research projects regarding expectations of very large storage areas. The consequences of large allocations are more evident for storage projects where data are stored over many years.
- Research projects with a need for large amount of resources should not get disproportional or otherwise unreasonable amount of resources. This implies that these large projects should contribute in order to justify their large allocations.

**User driven demand**

- The willingness of large research projects\(^4\) to contribute to the funding of the e-infrastructure will reflect the importance of the services for the research.

**Fair resource distribution**

- The model must take into consideration the difference between CPU services (resource spent over a very limited period of time and can be reused) and project storage (resource spent over a longer period of time and cannot be reused until the project is completed), hence the need for an incentive to keep the usage under good stewardship and control. This objective implies some contribution for the /project storage.
- There should not be any profit for the company in this model.

**Encourage use of strategic resources**

- The model should not discourage researchers to deposit data in the archive, implying the /archive storage should be free.
- No general contribution for CPU hours from non-commercial research projects/users because we want the service be used for good research and make it easy to start using the services. To promote an efficient use of resources can also counter a growth of many small local systems.

**Services covered by this model**

Services initially covered by this model is compute services and storage services. New services that are under development e.g. Visualisation, Data Analytics, Portals, should be assessed with regards to this contribution model, before they are put into production.

---

\(^4\) Proposed definition of large projects: The top 20 projects in terms of resource allocations.
Categories of users, contributors and target groups
So far, three different categories of contributors have been identified and different policies will be applied to each of these.

General principles
The following principles set the foundation for the contribution model:
1) For compute services (CPU hours) there is a general rule no payment (research project contribution) for smaller projects.
2) For storage services the general principle should be that research projects must contribute when they use the services for active data (i.e. /project area), but there will be a free quota of 10 TB for smaller projects.
3) Another general principle is the need for research projects contributions when Sigma2 or one of our partners is managing and operating a service on behalf of a user community, e.g. portals or other specific services.

A) Commercial research and industry
This includes assignments taken on by universities or research institutes under commercial terms. For these projects, the following policy is suggested:
- Full cost coverage including capital expenditure (share of investment cost), operational cost
- Request for capacity made before 1st March or Sept 1st in order to have guaranteed quotas in the following periods. Requests outside these dates can be considered
- Pay a yearly or bi-yearly fee for a fixed agreed quota
- The quota is evenly distributed over the period for HPC usage, outside of the Resource Allocation Committee quotas
- The total quota available for this category must within the COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 651/2014 and is decided by the Sigma2 Board

B) Large projects with EU or RCN funding
These projects have funding from EU or NRC and have dedicated funding for use of research infrastructures.
The following policy is suggested for this category:
- Cost coverage including operational cost and an administrative fee to Sigma2.
- Their allocation is decided by the Resource Allocation Committee
- The policy will only apply to new projects or projects getting renewed funding after 2016.
- Payment will be handled by invoicing the project for the actual consumed resources

C) Non-commercial Projects needing Dedicated Resources (Special or extraordinary needs)
There are some projects with special needs in terms of e.g. a certain predictability for allocations within a specific timeframe due to for instance international obligations or dependencies for other services. Some examples are CMIP6, WLCG, Portals or on-demand-compute. The timeframe should be aligned with the allocation periods.
The policy for this category can be:

---

5 Considered as economic activity as opposed to non-economic activity
6 Including contracts or assignments from municipalities or county government (kommune eller fylke)
7 Some arrangements might be made to accommodate special needs given sufficient notification period
- Cost coverage including capital expenditure and operational cost for the required CPU
  hours and an administrative fee to Sigma2 (Special requirements might incur extra cost).
- Access to a fixed number CPU cores or storage space
- They can also apply for an allocation from the Resource Allocation Committee for
  additional non-dedicated resources.

Special development of services should be kept outside of this model.

Costs will be adjusted yearly according to the general price index.

The cost of resources and services

The following cost elements are usually considered relevant for e-infrastructures:

**Investment (CAPEX) in hardware**
This is the hardware needed to produce services. The cost for this is normally depreciated over 4
years, which gives a yearly cost for the hardware. The cost also include the procurement costs.

**Operation cost of services (OPEX)**
When it comes to the operation of the services the following cost elements are included:
- Housing (floor space, physical infrastructure)
- Electricity
- Computer network connections
- Maintenance
- Some basic license costs
- Staff for operation of the e-infrastructure and basic support

**Development of services**
There is no cost element for general services in the model at present. Development of special or
specific services must contribute with separate funding. This can be done in:
- Discipline specific advanced user support within the current guidelines
- Separate projects if the service is for general use, e.g. service for data analytics.

**Administrative costs**
There are administrative costs for Sigma2 related to operating the services. In general, the ratio
between compute services and storage services is 70% vs. 30%. However, since the purpose of
this model isn't full cost recovery, it is suggested to simplify this. The suggestion is to add a
percentage to the cost of CPU or storage covering the administrative cost and use the same
percentage for all services.

**Implications and consequences for Resource Allocation Committee**
In general, this model should not have any consequences for the work of the Resource Allocation
Committee as covered under each category (A-C). The Resource Allocation Committee will still
control the majority of the e-infrastructure resources and allocate these according to their
mandate and guidelines.

---

Examples of potential consequences for projects
Here are some specific examples of how this model will work with the current estimates of the costs for compute and storage services.

**Compute projects**
This table shows examples of different cost pr. CPU hour for various allocations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Million CPU Hours</th>
<th>Category B, RCN/EU funding (OPEX)</th>
<th>Category C, Dedicated Resources (OPEX + CAPEX)</th>
<th>Category A, Commercial research (Full cost)</th>
<th>Commercial &quot;alternative in the cloud&quot;**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>5,400,000</td>
<td>10,800,000</td>
<td>21,600,000</td>
<td>32,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2,880,000</td>
<td>5,760,000</td>
<td>11,520,000</td>
<td>17,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2,160,000</td>
<td>4,320,000</td>
<td>8,640,000</td>
<td>12,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,440,000</td>
<td>2,880,000</td>
<td>5,760,000</td>
<td>8,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>360,000</td>
<td>720,000</td>
<td>1,440,000</td>
<td>2,150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>144,000</td>
<td>288,000</td>
<td>430,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This cost includes basic user support, installation of software and storage up to 10 Terabyte.

**Alternative table with examples of dedicated CPU cores:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#of CPU cores Price</th>
<th>6000</th>
<th>4096</th>
<th>1024</th>
<th>512</th>
<th>256</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual CPU hours</td>
<td>52,560,000</td>
<td>35,880,960</td>
<td>8,970,240</td>
<td>4,485,120</td>
<td>2,242,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,568,640</td>
<td>5,166,858</td>
<td>1,291,715</td>
<td>645,857</td>
<td>322,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15,137,280</td>
<td>10,333,716</td>
<td>2,583,429</td>
<td>1,291,715</td>
<td>645,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22,600,800</td>
<td>15,428,813</td>
<td>3,857,203</td>
<td>1,928,602</td>
<td>964,301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Google (Intel N1 VCPU)
Storage projects
The procurement of new storage infrastructure has not reached a state where we can predict the costs with reasonable certainty, the table below is an estimate and might change once the procurement is completed.

This table shows examples of different cost pr. Terabyte for various allocations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tera Bytes (TB)/</th>
<th>Yearly Cost pr. TeraByte in NOK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Category B, RCN/EU funding (OPEX)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,090,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>763,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>392,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>87,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>76,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>32,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>27,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*AWS object store

Storage projects will in addition apply the following principles:

- no charge is demanded for projects that consume less than 10 TB.
- usage above 10 TB are charged for all space used
- costs are charged retrospectively and on a yearly basis, based on the actual resource usage

In addition to the resource service for active data (project area), the national infrastructure is providing specific services for several communities and research groups. The service intends to provide users from the community / science discipline better access to the data stored on the infrastructure (providing more effective use of the resources).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VM server</th>
<th>2 vCPU, 2 GB RAM, 50 GB system disk</th>
<th>9180 NOK/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Projects will need to pay separately for initial setup and extra software installation.